

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	5
Abstract	7
Resumé	8
Enclosed manuscripts	10
1. Introduction	11
1.1. Aim, hypotheses and objectives.....	12
2. The Rho family GTPases	14
2.2. RhoGDI α	17
2.2. Rho GTPase signalling upon dissociation from RhoGDI α	19
2.2.1. Group I p21-activated kinases.....	21
3. Regulation of skeletal muscle glucose uptake	23
3.1. Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake – An overview.....	24
3.2. Glucose uptake in response to muscle contraction – An overview.....	27
3.2.1. Calcium signalling.....	27
3.2.2. Metabolic stress signalling.....	28
3.2.1. Mechanical stress signalling.....	29
4. A role for RhoGDIα, Rho GTPases, and group I PAKs in skeletal muscle glucose uptake .	31
4.1. Involvement of Rac1 in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake	31
4.1.1. Insulin-mediated Rac1 activation by RhoGEF FLJ00068	31
4.1.2. Rac1 and Akt interaction.....	32
4.1.3. Rac1-mediated actin cytoskeleton remodelling	33
4.2. Involvement of Rac1 in glucose uptake during muscle contraction	34
4.3. The involvements of RhoGDI α in muscle glucose uptake	35
4.3.1. Potential downstream mechanisms of RhoGDI α in the regulation of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake	38
4.4. The involvements of group I PAKs in muscle glucose uptake	40
4.4.1. Potential downstream mechanisms of PAK2 in the regulation of muscle glucose transport.....	43
5. Regulation of muscle mass	51
5.1. The regulation of muscle growth – An overview	51

5.2. The regulation of muscle protein degradation – An overview.....	52
5.3. The involvement of RhoGDI α and Rho GTPases in muscle mass regulation.....	53
5.3.1. Potential downstream mechanisms of RhoGDI α in the regulation of muscle mass	54
6. Muscle mass and insulin sensitivity – An interaction?	62
6.1. Clinical relevance of RhoGDI α , Rho GTPases, and group I PAKs in muscle wasting diseases and insulin resistance	62
7. Methodological considerations.....	64
7.1. Potential differences in metabolism between skeletal muscle models	64
7.2. Glucose uptake measurements in isolated mouse muscle.....	65
7.3. <i>In vivo</i> insulin-stimulated glucose uptake measurements	66
7.4. The double PAK1 ^{-/-} ;PAK2 ^{fl/fl} ;MyoD ^{iCre/+} mouse model	70
7.5. Potential pitfalls and alternatives to using rAAV6	70
7.5.1. RNA interference	72
7.6. Methodological difficulties investigating RhoGDI α action.....	75
7.6.1. Methodological difficulties determining whether RhoGDI α overexpression inhibits Rac1-mediated insulin-stimulated glucose uptake	75
8. Conclusion.....	77
8.1. Future directions	77
8.2. Perspectives.....	78
List of references	79
Manuscripts	105

Acknowledgements

The present PhD thesis is mainly based on work conducted in the Section of Molecular Physiology, Department of Nutrition, Exercise and Sports at the University of Copenhagen. In addition, parts of the work were performed during my stay for three months in professor Amira Klip's research group at the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada and during five months in associate professor Pauls Gregorevic's research group at the Baker Heart & Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Australia. My PhD scholarship was funded by the Lundbeck Foundation (grant number: 2015-3388).

In this context, I would like to express my appreciation to everyone contributing to the work of the present PhD thesis. First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my academic supervisors and mentors professor Erik A. Richter and associate professor Lykke Sylow. Thank you for taking me in as an undergraduate student and for introducing me to the exciting world of science. I cannot express in words how much your endless support and faith in me means to me. Thank you for giving me this opportunity! I hope that we will have more scientific discussions to come (and maybe some fence climbing in Miami), and Lykke, I look forward to seeing who wins our spot-a-famous-person-challenge.

Secondly, I would like to thank professor Jørgen F.P. Wojtaszewski, professor Bente Kiens, and associate professor Thomas E. Jensen together with Erik and Lykke for creating an inspiring research environment with scientific discussions challenging everyone to conduct world-class research. In that regard, I would like to thank all members of the Section of Molecular Physiology for creating a supportive workplace with room for both scientific discussions and social events. In particular, I would like to acknowledge:

- Associate professor Thomas E. Jensen and Nicoline R. Andersen for their invaluable contributions to all of the studies.
- Mona Ali for her contributions to study 1.
- Dr Jonas R. Knudsen for his contributions to study 2 and 3.
- Merna Jaurii, Dr Anne-Marie Lundsgaard, Dr Agnete B. Madsen and Dr Rasmus Kjøbsted for their contributions to study 2.
- Ida L. Nielsen for her contributions to study 3.

- Betina Bolmgren and Irene B. Nielsen for technical assistance with sample analysis and together with Jesper B. Birk and Nicoline R. Andersen for keeping the lab together.
- For experimental help in studies that did not make it into this thesis, Dr Xiuqing Han and Steffen H. Raun.
- Also a huge thank you to Mona Ali, Nicoline R. Andersen and Tang Cam Phung Pham for helping me out in the lab and with experiments during the thesis writing process.

I would also like to thank all of our collaborators contributing to study 1-3. In particular, I would like to acknowledge:

- A huge thank you to professor Amira Klip and associate professor Paul Gregorevic and their research groups for being welcoming and making my stay in Toronto and Melbourne, respectively, enjoyable and scientifically developing. In that context, I would like to thank Dr Javier Jaldin from professor Amira Klip's research group for a huge amount of methodological help. Additionally, I would like to thank Dr Jonathan Davey, Dr Kevin I. Watt, and Dr Hongwei Qian from associate professor Paul Gregorevic's research group for their help in the process of vector designing and making, and Dr Kevin I. Watt for his contributions to the muscle mass story in study 1.
- Dr Mark P. Jedrychowski and Dr Jonathan Z. Long for their contributions to the identification of the Rac1 interactome in study 1.
- Professor Robert S. Krauss and Dr Giselle A. Joseph for the sharing the PAK1/m2 dKO founder mice.
- Associate professor Joachim Nielsen for his assistance measuring mitochondrial volume in study 1.

I would also like to thank my wonderful family and friends for their invaluable support and encouragement. I cannot express my appreciation enough for this. Lastly, I could not have done this without the caring, general pieces of advice from my wonderful husband. His way of looking at my encountered issues from a completely different perspective during the process has helped me through obstacles and successes with a smile.

Lisbeth Liliendal Valbjørn Møller, February 2020

Abstract

Skeletal muscle is the largest organ and critical for whole-body glycemic control. Furthermore, skeletal muscles are crucial for maintaining an independent lifestyle, and muscle strength is inversely associated with death from all causes. Since skeletal muscle wasting is common in several metabolic pathological conditions associated with muscle insulin resistance, understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying this phenomenon is vital.

In response to insulin but also muscle contraction, glucose transporter (GLUT)-4 is translocated to the plasma membrane of the muscle fibre resulting in increased glucose uptake. Although the intracellular signalling mechanisms in response to insulin and muscle contraction has been extensively studied, the signalling pathways are still incompletely understood. The Rho family GTPase Rac1 has been implicated in both insulin- and contraction-stimulated GLUT4 translocation. However, the upstream regulators involved in the increased Rac1 activity and downstream mechanisms are incompletely understood.

The present PhD thesis aimed to identify the Rac1 interactome and reveal potential candidates involved in the regulation of Rac1 activity and downstream mechanisms. In continuation, the effect of RhoGDI α overexpression or knockdown on insulin-stimulated Rac1-activity, GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake were investigated in muscle cells and mouse. Using a pharmacological inhibitor and transgenic mice, the role of group I PAKs, downstream of Rac1, in the regulation of glucose uptake in response to insulin and muscle contraction was explored.

In the present PhD thesis, RhoGDI α was identified as an endogenous inhibitor of Rac1 in skeletal muscle cells and additionally a negative regulator of insulin sensitivity *in vivo*. Muscle-specific RhoGDI α overexpression impaired whole-body glucose tolerance in mice. Moreover, RhoGDI α protein content was increased in skeletal muscle from insulin-resistant patients with type 2 diabetes potentially explaining the previously reported dysfunctional insulin-stimulated Rho GTPase signalling in these subjects. Interestingly, RhoGDI α was also identified as a negative regulator of muscle mass. Lastly, downstream of Rac1, glucose uptake in response to insulin and electrically-induced muscle contraction was found to partly depend on PAK2, but not PAK1, in glycolytic mouse skeletal muscle. In conclusion, RhoGDI α is identified as a novel regulator of muscle mass and insulin sensitivity and evidence is provided that Rac1-mediated glucose uptake partly depends on PAK2, but not PAK1.

Resumé

Skeletmuskulaturen er kroppens største organ og er kritisk for den glykæmiske kontrol. Kroppens muskler er også nødvendige for at vi kan bevæge os, og nedsat muskelstyrke er associeret med højere dødelighed. Muskelsvind er ikke unormalt i mange metaboliske sygdomme associeret med nedsat insulin sensitivitet. Derfor er det vigtigt at udrede de molekulære mekanismer, der er ansvarlige.

Både insulin og kontraktion af musklerne stimulerer til translokation af glukose transportere (GLUT)-4 ud til plasma membranen af muskelfibre, hvilket fører til øget glukoseoptagelse. Selvom de intracellulære mekanismer, der regulerer insulin- og kontraktions-stimuleret GLUT4 translokation er blevet undersøgt dybdegående, så er der meget, som vi stadig ikke ved. Rho GTPasen Rac1 er tidligere vist både at regulerer insulin- og kontraktions-stimuleret GLUT4 translokation. Endnu vides det dog ikke præcist, hvordan Rac1 bliver aktiveret, og hvilken signalering Rac1 efterfølgende sætter i gang.

Formålet med denne ph.d. afhandling var at identificere Rac1's bindingspartnere og afsløre potentielle kandidater involveret i reguleringen af Rac1 aktivitet og nedstrøms aktioner. I forlængelse af dette blev effekten af RhoGDI α overudtryk eller knockdown for insulin-stimuleret Rac1 aktivitet, GLUT4 translokation og glukoseoptagelse undersøgt i muskelceller og mus. Ved brug af en farmakologisk hæmmer og transgene mus blev betydningen af gruppe I PAKs, nedstrøms for Rac1, i reguleringen af insulin- og kontraktionsstimuleret glukoseoptagelse undersøgt.

I denne ph.d. afhandling blev RhoGDI α identificeret som en endogen hæmmer af Rac1 i muskelceller og en negativ regulator af insulin-sensitivitet *in vivo*. Muskel-specifik overudtryk af RhoGDI α nedsatte glukosetolerancen på helkropsplan i mus. Derudover blev det fundet, at RhoGDI α proteinekspressionen var højere i patienter med type 2 diabetes, hvilket potentielt kan forklare den nedsatte insulin-stimulerede Rho GTPase signalering tidligere rapporteret i disse patienter. Undersøgelserne viste også, at RhoGDI α var vigtig i reguleringen af muskelmasse. Afslutningsvis blev det fundet nedstrøms for Rac1, at glukoseoptagelse i respons til insulin eller elektrisk-stimuleret muskelkontraktioner delvist var afhængig af PAK2, men ikke PAK1. Disse resultater identificerer RhoGDI α som en hidtil ukendt regulator af muskelmasse og insulin

sensitivitet, samt fastslår at Rac1-medieret glukoseoptagelse i muskler delvist er afhængig af PAK2, men ikke PAK1.

Enclosed manuscripts

The present thesis is based on three manuscripts and some unpublished observations not included in the enclosed manuscripts. Throughout the thesis, the manuscripts are referred to as study 1-3. All manuscripts are located at the back of the thesis.

Study 1

Møller, L.L.V, Watt, K.I., Davey, J, Jedrychowski, M.P., Ali, M., Andersen, N.R., Long, J.Z., Qian, H., Jeppesen, J.F., Jensen, T.E., Abrigo, J., Cabello-Verrugio C., Goodman, C.A., Højlund, K., Wojtaszewski J.F.P., Nielsen, J., Klip, A., Gregorevic, P., Richter, E.A., & Sylow, L. Rho GDP-dissociation inhibitor α inhibits Rac1 and is a novel negative regulator of skeletal muscle mass and insulin sensitivity. *In preparation*.

Study 2

Møller, L.L.V, Jaurji, M., Kjøbsted, R., Joseph, G.A., Madsen, A.B., Knudsen, J.R., Lundsgaard, A.-M., Andersen, N.R., Schjerling, P., Jensen, T.E., Krauss, R.S., Richter, E.A., & Sylow, L. Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake partly relies on p21-activated kinase (PAK)-2, but not PAK1, in mouse skeletal muscle. *bioRxiv*; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/543736>. *To be submitted to Journal of Physiology*.

Study 3

Møller, L.L.V, Nielsen, I.L., Knudsen, J.R., Andersen, N.R., Jensen, T.E., Sylow, L., & Richter, E.A. The role of group I p21-activated kinases in contraction-stimulated skeletal muscle glucose transport. *bioRxiv*, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.29.925024>. *In review Physiological Reports, February 2020*.

1. Introduction

Skeletal muscle accounts for approximately 40% of the total body mass in healthy, lean men and 30% in women (Kim et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2000). The preservation of skeletal muscle mass and strength is crucial for maintaining an independent lifestyle, and muscle strength is inversely associated with death from all causes in men (Landi et al., 2013, 2015; Leong et al., 2015; Ruiz et al., 2008). Skeletal muscle wasting is common in several metabolic pathological conditions associated with muscle insulin resistance (Kalyani et al., 2014), including type 2 diabetes (Bassil and Gougeon, 2013; DeFronzo et al., 1985; Pereira et al., 2008), several types of cancer (Dev et al., 2018; Esposito et al., 2012; Han et al., 2020; Wagner and Petruzzelli, 2015), and ageing (Batsis and Villareal, 2018; Cleasby et al., 2016; Rasmussen et al., 2006). Understanding the molecular interactions underlying this phenomenon is vital given the increasing prevalence of type 2 diabetes in the adult population (International Diabetes Federation, 2019), the number of new cancer incidence every year (National Cancer Institute, 2018), and the demographic evolution of an increasing proportion of elderly citizens (World Health Organization, 2018), constituting a remarkable socioeconomic burden. Hence, the overarching aim of the present PhD thesis was to delineate novel molecular mechanisms regulating skeletal muscle mass and insulin sensitivity.

During a euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp or an oral glucose load, skeletal muscle accounts for the majority of whole-body glucose disposal (Baron et al., 1988; DeFronzo et al., 1985; Ferrannini et al., 1985), making skeletal muscle critical for whole-body glycemic control. Additionally, skeletal muscle insulin resistance is an early defect in the pathophysiology of whole-body insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DeFronzo et al., 1985; Kahn, 2003). The glucoregulatory role of insulin is generally exerted via inhibition of hepatic glucose production and stimulation of glucose uptake in peripheral tissues (Saltiel and Kahn, 2001).

Glucose transport across the muscle membrane occurs via specific transmembrane glucose transporters (GLUTs). The main muscle-expressed GLUT, GLUT4 is not exclusively located in the plasma membrane. Instead, trafficking of GLUT4 from intracellular stores and insertion into the plasma membrane increases membrane permeability (Klip et al., 2019). Distinct signalling mechanisms increase glucose uptake in response to insulin stimulation and muscle contraction in skeletal muscle (Douen et al., 1990; Hansen et al., 1994; Lee et al., 1995; Lund et al., 1995;

Ploug et al., 1998; Sakamoto et al., 2006). Importantly, muscle contraction increases glucose uptake similarly in both insulin-sensitive and insulin-resistant skeletal muscle (Kennedy et al., 1999; Martin et al., 1995; Richter and Hargreaves, 2013; Wallberg-Henriksson and Holloszy, 1985), making muscle contraction an important alternative pathway to lower blood glucose in insulin-resistant states.

Although the intracellular signalling mechanisms responsible for increased glucose uptake in response to insulin and muscle contraction have been extensively studied, the signalling pathways are still incompletely understood. Elucidating these mechanisms is not only of relevance to type 2 diabetes mellitus, but also other pathological conditions characterized by insulin resistance, such as cancer and ageing.

1.1. Aim, hypotheses and objectives

To gain deeper insight into the molecular mechanisms involved in the regulation of glucose uptake and metabolism in skeletal muscle, the present PhD thesis aimed to investigate the upstream regulators and downstream mechanisms involved in GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake mediated by the Rho family GTPase Rac1 in response to insulin stimulation and muscle contraction. Specific hypotheses and objectives for Study 1-3 are presented in Table 1. During the investigation of RhoGDI α in **study 1**, we observed changes in muscle mass upon manipulation of RhoGDI α protein expression. Consequently, the role of RhoGDI α in the regulation of muscle mass was investigated in addition to the role in the regulation of muscle glucose uptake.